

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bro. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.USplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,981	07/24/2003	Michael P. Burns	576SC [2630.3131.001]	1703
7590 02/28/2005			EXAMINER	
William H. Francis			CHIESA, RICHARD L	
Reising, Ething	ton, Barnes, Kisselle, P.C.		<del></del>	
P.O. Box 4390			ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Troy, MI 48099			1724	

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

',		Appl	lication No.	Applicant(s)			
			625,981	BURNS, MICHAEL 1	P.		
Office Action Summary		Exar	miner	Art Unit			
			ard L. Chiesa	1724	<u> </u>		
The M/ Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commu	inication appears o	on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	ess		
A SHORTENE THE MAILING - Extensions of tim after SIX (6) MOI - If the period for re - If NO period for re - Failure to reply w Any reply receive earned patent ter	ED STATUTORY PERIOD BY DATE OF THIS COMMUING THIS FROM THE MERIOD STATE OF THIS COMMUINE THE PROVINCE OF THIS COMMUINE THE PROVINCE OF THE PRO	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In  nmunication.  (30) days, a reply within t  statutory period will apply  bly will, by statute, cause t  s after the mailing date of	n no event, however, may a he statutory minimum of thi and will expire SIX (6) MO he application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
Status							
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) fi	iled on <u>03 August</u>	<u>2004</u> .				
2a)∐ This act	ion is FINAL.	2b) ☐ This action	n is non-final.				
3)☐ Since th	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits i						
closed i	n accordance with the prac	ctice under <i>Ex part</i>	te Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Cl	aims						
4)⊠ Claim(s	) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of th	ne above claim(s) is/	are withdrawn fro	m consideration.				
5) Claim(s	) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s	) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s	) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s	) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restric	tion and/or electio	n requirement.				
Application Pape	ers						
9)⊠ The spe	cification is objected to by t	he Examiner.		•			
10)⊠ The drav	ving(s) filed on <u>24 July 200</u>	<u>)3</u> is/are: a)∐ acc	cepted or b) 🛭 obje	cted to by the Examiner.			
Applican	t may not request that any obj	jection to the drawin	g(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replace	ment drawing sheet(s) includir	ng the correction is r	required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).		
11)⊡ The oath	or declaration is objected	to by the Examine	er. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO	-152.		
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119						
•	edgment is made of a clair o)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1.□ C	ertified copies of the priorit	y documents have	e been received.		•		
2.□ C	ertified copies of the priorit	y documents have	e been received in a	Application No			
3.□ C	opies of the certified copie	s of the priority do	cuments have been	n received in this National St	age		
а	oplication from the Internat	ional Bureau (PC)	Γ Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the a	ttached detailed Office act	ion for a list of the	certified copies no	t received.			
Attachment(s)							
	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
	closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449			Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)		

Ĩ.

SN 10/625,981

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response To Amendment

(1.) The preliminary amendment filed on August 3, 2004 has been entered.

#### **Drawings**

(2.) The drawings filed on July 24, 2003 are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because Figures 5-7 apparently do not include the primed reference characters (e.g. 86') described on pages 14 and 15 of the specification. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled Replacement Sheet in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84c) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Specification

(3.) The abstract is objected to as unduly long. Correction is required. See MPEP section 608.01(b).

SN 10/625,981

(4.) Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means", "said", and "comprising" should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

(5.) The specification is objected to for the following reasons: (A) Pages 14 and 15 describe primed reference characters (e.g. 86') that do not appear to be in the drawings.

(B) The specification does not indicate that the patent application cited on page 12 is now U.S. Patent No. 6,561,496. Correction is required.

## Election Of Species

(6.) This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (A) Figures 1, 2; (B) Figures 3, 4, and (C) Figures 5-7.

SN 10/625,981 4

Applicant is required under 35 USC 121 to elect a single distinct disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 25 is apparently generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP section 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 USC 103(a) of the other invention.

### Conclusion

(7.) Action on the merits of the claims is held in abeyance pending applicant's response.

SN 10/625,981

(8.) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Chiesa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1154.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane S. Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-1166.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0987.

Facsimile correspondence must be transmitted through (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard L. Chiesa February 24, 2005

> RICHARD L. CHIESA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1724

Richard L. Chiesa

Jeb. 24, 2005